

KINGS LAW REPORTS (ALL SC / NOVEL CA)

**(2000) KLR VOL 12 PT 112 pp. 3205-3368
DECEMBER 2000**

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

NDEX OF CASES REPORTED

DECEMBER CONTD.

- 9. Jeric Nigeria Ltd v. Union Bank of Nigeria PLC p.3205
- 10. Biocon Agrochemicals (Nig.) Ltd v. Kudu Holding (Pty) Ltd p.3231
- 11. Afrotec Technical Services (Nig.) Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259
- 12. Akuneziri v. Okenwa p. 3331

ACTIONS - Counter claim - Admission and available evidence - Entitles respondent to succeed in its counter claim. Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank p. 3205

ACTIONS - Counter claim - Being an independent action - Success of the main claim - Does not automatically mean that the counter claim must fail. Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank p. 3205

ACTIONS - Relief not claimed - Or a grant in excess of what is claimed - Should not be awarded by the court. Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank p. 3205

ACTIONS - Relief not claimed - Was wrongfully awarded by the Court of Appeal. Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Impeachment of grounds of facts or mixed law and facts - Must be specifically pointed out by counsel - The court has no duty to pick and choose which grounds to impeach. Akuneziri v. Okenwa p. 3331

APPEALS - Irregularity - Such as misstating of the actual year of Judgment - Will not vitiate the appeal - As no miscarriage of justice was occasioned. Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank p. 3205

APPEALS - Issues for determination - Competence of - They must come from the grounds - And the grounds must be judgment of the court - Otherwise the issues will be incompetent as in this case. Biocon Ltd v. Kudu Holding Ltd p. 3231

APPEALS - Relief - Award of an amount - That is higher than what was claimed - Without any proof of how the higher sum arose - Is wrong. Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank p. 3205

APPEALS - Separate appeals - Withdrawal of one appeal - Does not terminate second appeal - Once appellant is affected by the decision. Akuneziri v. Okenwa p. 3331

APPEALS - Withdrawal - That is deemed dismissed - Is not a judgment on the merit. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

BANKING - Letters of credit - Lien - By the terms stated in the parties' contract - Respondent has a right of lien over all the goods - Imported under the LC it opened for the appellant. *Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank* p. 3205

BANKING - Overdraft facility - Sought for to finance opening of letters of credit - Was refused and therefore never materialized. *Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank* p. 3205

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Appointment and Recognition of Chiefs - Are matters for community and State Governor respectively. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Removal of chief - The authority to remove a traditional ruler is the governor. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Recognition - Withdrawn by governor after due consideration - Is appropriate. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Traditional ruler - Withdrawal of recognition - Can be exercised by the governor - Without the Commissioner's advice. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - Withdrawal of recognition - Was rightly exercised by governor under s.9 of the law. *Akuneziri v. Okenwa* p. 3331

CONTRACTS - Banking - Conditions stated for opening letters of credit - Are binding on the appellant. *Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank* p. 3205

CONTRACTS - Variation - Banking - Contract governing the terms of letters of credit - Was not varied by the parties. *Jeric Ltd v. Union Bank*

P. 3205

COURTS - Discretionary powers - Will not be disturbed by appellate Court - Unless the exercise of that discretion is wrongful. Biocon Ltd
B v. Kudu Holding Ltd p. 3231

INJUNCTIONS - Failure of - Appeals - Injunction will fail if ancillary declaration on which it is based is set aside on appeal. Akuneziri v.
C Okenwa p.3331

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS - Substantive issue - Will not be pronounced upon - So as not to prejudice the trial. Biocon Ltd v.
D Kudu Holding Ltd p. 3231

SALE OF GOODS - Appeals - Court of Appeal was wrong - In finding that the conditional sale was converted into an absolute sale - And in its view that the only remedy open to the seller was action for the
E recovery of the unpaid balance. Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

SALE OF GOODS - Conditional sale - Property in the goods did not pass to the buyer - Who failed to pay the outstanding balance – As
F provided in the parties' agreement Exhibit 1. Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

SALE OF GOODS - Lien - Right of - Was not waived as erroneously found by the Court of Appeal- And plaintiff did not establish any legal
G right in the property in issue. Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

SALE OF GOODS - Unpaid seller's right of lien - Was rightly exercised by the seller - In seizing the machinery - Though the seller was
H acting as the buyer's agent. Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 S. 220 (i) (b), 221(1), 222(a) Biocon Ltd v. Kudu Holding Ltd p. 3231

B

Court of Appeal Rules 1981 0.3 r. 4 (1) & (2) Biocon Ltd v. Kudu Holding Ltd p.3231

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1972, O. 13 & 19, O. (I r. 6 Biocon Ltd v. Kudu Holding Ltd p. 3231

C

Imo State Chieftaincy Law No 221978 ss. 3, 26 (1) 10,9,14,15 Akuneziri v Okenwa p. 3331

D

Sale of Goods Act 1893 (Received English Statute) ss. 18(1), 19, 17, 38-11,62,48 (2) & (3),28, 1(2),43,55 Afrotec Ltd v. Mia & Sons Ltd p. 3259

Supreme Court Rules 1985. Order 8 Rule 6 Akuneziri v. Okenwa p. 3331

E

F

G

H